

The Analytical Solution of the Lag-Lead Compensator

Li Li*, Zhengpeng Wu

Department of Automation, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China 100084

April 23, 2009

Abstract

In this paper, we first give the analytical solution of the general lag-lead compensator design problem. Then, we show why a series of more than 5 phase-lead/phase-lag compensator cannot be solved analytically using the Galois Theory.

1 Introduction

The well known lag-lead compensator design problem is a typical frequency controller design problem; see also the related discussions in the textbooks listed in [1]. During the last four decades, different design methods were proposed [2]-[9]. The analytical design procedures for single continuous phase-lag and phase-lead compensator have been given in several literatures, e.g. [8]. An analytical solving procedure is constructed for three-parameter lag-lead compensators in [9]. But that method cannot be directly applied to four-parameter cases. A universal design chart based four-parameter lag-lead compensator design method was proposed in [6]. Though it makes great progress to avoid manual graphical manipulations in design, it is still a graph based approach and sometimes does not yield the accurate solution. To our best knowledge, the analytical solution of four-parameter or even more general lag-lead compensator remains unsolved till now.

In this paper, we will first give the analytical solution of the general lag-lead compensator. Then, we will show why a series of more than 5 phase-lead/phase-lag compensator usually cannot be analytically determined using the Galois Theory.

*Corresponding author: li-li@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

2 The Analytical Solution for the General Lag-Lead Compensator

In general, a n th-order lag-lead compensator ($n \geq 1$) can be written as

$$G_c(s) = \frac{s^n + b_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + b_n}{s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + a_n} \quad (1)$$

where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, due to the requirement of casual stability.

Substitute s with $j\omega$, we get

$$\bar{G}_c(j\omega) = \frac{(j\omega)^n + b_1(j\omega)^{n-1} + \dots + b_n}{(j\omega)^n + a_1(j\omega)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n} \quad (2)$$

Usually, the dedicated performance requirements are given as several pairs of gain and phase at certain frequencies. For the k th performance requirement, we have

$$\bar{G}_c(j\omega_k) = \frac{(j\omega_k)^n + b_1(j\omega_k)^{n-1} + \dots + b_n}{(j\omega_k)^n + a_1(j\omega_k)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n} = g_k \cos(p_k) + g_k \sin(p_k)j \quad (3)$$

where g_k and p_k are the corresponding gain and phase at frequency ω_k , for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Eq.(3) can be rewritten as

$$(j\omega_k)^n + b_1(j\omega_k)^{n-1} + \dots + b_n = [(j\omega_k)^n + a_1(j\omega_k)^{n-1} + \dots + a_n] [g_k \cos(p_k) + g_k \sin(p_k)j] \quad (4)$$

I) If n is an even integer satisfying $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. From Eq.(4), we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^m \omega_k^{2m} + \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q} b_{2q} + j \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q+1} b_{2q-1} \\ &= \left[(-1)^m \omega_k^{2m} + \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q} a_{2q} + j \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q+1} a_{2q-1} \right] [g_k \cos(p_k) + g_k \sin(p_k)j] \\ &= \left[g_k \cos(p_k) \left((-1)^m \omega_k^{2m} + \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q} a_{2q} \right) - g_k \sin(p_k) \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q+1} a_{2q-1} \right] \\ & \quad + j \left[g_k \sin(p_k) \left((-1)^m \omega_k^{2m} + \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q} a_{2q} \right) + g_k \cos(p_k) \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q+1} a_{2q-1} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which finally leads to the following two linear equations of a_i, b_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q} b_{2q} - g_k \cos(p_k) \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q} a_{2q} \\ + g_k \sin(p_k) \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q+1} a_{2q-1} = -(-1)^m \omega_k^{2m} + g_k \cos(p_k) (-1)^m \omega_k^{2m} \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{q=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q+1} b_{2q-1} - g_k \sin(p_k) \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q} a_{2q} \\ - g_k \cos(p_k) \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^{m-q} \omega_k^{2m-2q+1} a_{2q-1} = g_k \sin(p_k) (-1)^m \omega_k^{2m} \quad (7)$$

II) Similarly, if n is an odd integer satisfying $n = 2m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the k th performance requirement will also lead to two linear equations of a_i , b_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

In the rest of this paper, we will call r performance requirement pairs (g_k, p_k, ω_k) , $k = 1, \dots, r$ are feasible, if they lead to a $2r$ consistent and linearly independent (irreducible) equation set defined as (6)-(7). As a result, we can reach the following conclusion.

Theorem 1 Suppose we have r feasible performance requirement pairs (g_k, p_k, ω_k) , $k = 1, \dots, r$. If $r < n$, we may have infinite possible solutions of this compensator. If $r > n$, we cannot find a feasible solution of this compensator. If $r = n$, we can formulate a $2n$ consistent and linearly independent linear equation set for these $2n$ unknown parameters a_i, b_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, we can get the analytical solution of this lag-lead compensator directly by solving this linear equations set (e.g. using Cramer's rule).

It is easy to prove that the analytical solving methods of phase-lag/phase-lead and three-parameter lag-lead compensator design problem proposed in [8]-[9] are indeed special cases of the above method.

3 Further Discussions

There are two interesting questions concerning the lag-lead compensator design problems. The first question is

Question 1: Determine whether a set of performance requirement pairs (g_k, p_k, ω_k) , $k = 1, \dots, n$ is feasible for a n th-order lag-lead compensator.

From the above discussion, we can see that a set of n performance requirement pairs is feasible unless they lead to $2n$ consistent and linearly independent. Moreover, it is often required the lag-lead compensator to be casual stable. Thus, we need to check the algebraic stability criterion for the following equation

$$s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0 \quad (8)$$

after obtaining a_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The necessary and sufficient algebra stability criterion for Eq.(8) is hard to find. However, we can apply Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion which is necessary and frequently sufficient. Since readers are familiar with this issue, we will not discuss the details.

The second question is

Question 2: Determine whether we find a series of n phase-lead/phase-lag compensator connected as

$$G_c(s) = \frac{s + d_1}{s + c_1} \cdot \frac{s + d_2}{s + c_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{s + d_n}{s + c_n} \quad (9)$$

which can satisfy a set of performance requirement pairs (g_k, p_k, ω_k) , $k = 1, \dots, n$. Here, $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{R}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

From the above discussion, if this set of performance requirement pairs (g_k, p_k, ω_k) , $k = 1, \dots, n$, is feasible, we have

$$G_c(s) = \frac{(s + d_1)(s + d_2)\dots(s + d_n)}{(s + c_1)(s + c_2)\dots(s + c_n)} = \frac{s^n + b_1s^{n-1} + \dots + b_n}{s^n + a_1s^{n-1} + \dots + a_n} \quad (10)$$

where a_i, b_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are calculated from the selected performance requirements using the above method.

Thus, *Question 2* is equal to finding the roots of $s^n + a_1s^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0$ and $s^n + b_1s^{n-1} + \dots + b_n = 0$.

Based on the well known Galois theory [10]-[12], we can always find the analytical solution of c_i, d_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ for $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. But generally, we cannot find the analytical solution for $n \geq 5$.

References

- [1] D. E. Davison, J. Chen, O. R. Ploen, and D. S. Bernstein, "What is your favorite book on classical control?" *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 89-99, 2007.
- [2] W. R. Wakeland, "Bode compensator design," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 771-773, 1974.
- [3] J. R. Mitchell, "Comments on 'Bode compensator design'," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 869-870, 1977.
- [4] K. S. Yeung, K. Q. Chaid and T. X. Dinh, "Bode design charts for continuous-time and discrete-time compensators," *IEEE Transactions on Education*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 252-257, 1995.
- [5] K. S. Yeung, K. W. Wong and K.-L. Chen, "A non-trial-and-error method for lag-lead compensator design," *IEEE Transactions on Education*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 74-80, 1998.

- [6] K. S. Yeung and K. H. Lee, "A universal design chart for linear time-invariant continuous-time and discrete-time compensators," *IEEE Transactions on Education*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 309-315, 2000.
- [7] H. Calleja, "An approach to amplifier frequency compensation," *IEEE Transactions on Education*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 43-49, 2003.
- [8] F.-Y. Wang, "The exact and unique solution for phase-lead and phase-lag compensation," *IEEE Transactions on Education*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 258-262, 2003.
- [9] F.-Y. Wang, "A new non-trial-and-error method for lag-lead compensator design: A special case," *International Journal of Intelligent Control and Systems*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 69-76, 2006.
- [10] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211, 3rd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 2002.
- [11] H. M. Edwards, *Galois Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics , vol. 101, Springer, New York, NY, 1984.
- [12] I. Stewart, *Galois Theory*, 3rd edition, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003.